GOSSCHALKS

Document 5

BY EMAIL ONLY Licensing Department Shropshire Council



Dear Sirs,

Re: Gambling Act 2005 Policy Statement Consultation

1) We act for the Betting and Gaming Council (BGC) and are instructed to respond on behalf of the BGC to your consultation on the review of your Gambling Act 2005 Statement of Principles.

The Betting and Gaming Council

The Betting and Gaming Council (BGC) was created in 2019 as the new standards body for the UK's regulated betting and gaming industry. This includes betting shops, online betting and gaming businesses, bingo and casinos. Its mission is to champion industry standards in betting and gaming to ensure an enjoyable, fair and safe betting and gaming experience for all of its members' customers.

BGC members support 119,000 jobs and account for £4.5 billion to the Treasury annually in tax. Recent study also showed that BGC members contributed around £7.7 billion in gross value added to the UK economy in 2019.

The gambling industry is integral to the survival of sport. Betting companies spend over £40 million a year on the English Football Leage (EFL) and its clubs. Horse racing, an industry estimated to be worth £3.5 billion a year to the UK economy and which generates 85,000 jobs receives over £350 million per annum through the Horse Racing Industry Levy, media rights and sponsorship. Darts and Snooker receive in excess of £10 million per annum which represents 90 % of all sponsorship revenue.

The BGC has four principal objectives. These are to –

• create a culture of safer gambling throughout the betting and gaming sector, with particular focus on young people and those who are vulnerable



- ensure future changes to the regulatory regime are considered, proportionate and balanced
- become respected as valuable, responsible and engaged members of the communities in which its members operate
- safeguard and empower the customer as the key to a thriving UK betting and gaming industry

Before we comment on your draft policy document, it is important that the backdrop against which the comments are made is established.

Betting and Gaming in the UK

Betting and gaming is an incredibly important part of the UK leisure and hospitality industry, employing over 70,000 people, including 50,000 in betting, 13,000 in casinos and 10,000 people directly employed online. The betting and gaming industry contributes £8.7 billion Gross Value Added to the UK economy & contributes £3.2 billion to HM Treasury. In addition, casinos contribute over £120 million to the tourism economy each year.

Betting and gaming is widely enjoyed in the UK. Around 30 million people participate in some sort of gambling, whether that is on the National Lottery, placing a bet in betting shops, playing in casinos or at bingo. The overwhelming majority of these people do so safely without reporting any problems.

Any consideration of gambling licensing at the local level should also be considered within the wider context.

- the overall number of betting shops is in decline. The latest Gambling Commission industry statistics show that the number of betting offices (as of March 2020) was 7681. This is reducing every year and has fallen from a figure of 9137 in March 2014. Covid 19 had a devasting effect on the betting industry. The number of betting offices in June 2020 was down to 6461.
- planning law changes introduced in April 2015 have increased the ability of licensing authorities to review applications for new premises, as all new betting shops must now apply for planning permission.
- In April 2019 a maximum stake of £2 was applied to the operation of fixed odds betting terminals
- successive prevalence surveys and health surveys tells us that problem gambling rates in the UK are stable and possibly falling.

Problem Gambling

Problem gambling rates are static or possibly falling. The reported rate of 'problem gambling' (according to either the DSM-IV or the PGSI) was 0.8% of the adult population in 2015, in 2016 it was 0.7% and in 2018 it was 0.5% of the adult population.



T 01482 324252

This is termed statistically stable but is encouraging that we might finally be seeing a reduction in problem gambling due to the raft of measures that have been put in place recently both by the industry, the Gambling Commission and the Government – from a ban on credit cards, restrictions to VIP accounts, new age and identity verification measures and voluntary restrictions on advertising. These rates have remained broadly the same since the introduction of the Gambling Act 2005.

Whilst one problem gambler is too many, both the Government and regulator both say there is no evidence that problem gambling has increased in recent years.

During the Covid-19 period of lockdown, both the Gambling Commission and Government have acknowledged that problem gambling levels have not increased.

In June 2020, the BGC's five largest members committed to increasing the amount they spend on research, education and treatment (RET) services from 0.1 per cent to 0.25 per cent of their annual revenue in 2020, 0.5 per cent in 2021, 0.75 per cent in 2022 and 1 per cent in 2023. The five operators confirmed they will provide £100 million to GambleAware charity to improve treatment services for problem gamblers.

Rates of 'problem gambling' in the UK are low by international standards – compared to France (1.3%), Germany (1.2%), Sweden (2.2%) and Italy (1.27%).

The BGC supported the creation of the new NHS gambling treatment clinics who have promised 22 clinics, 3 of which are open now. We are pleased that the NHS have committed to work to increase the number of clinics in the UK in addition to existing serviced delivered by Gordon Moody Association and GamCare's 120 treatment centres located throughout the UK.

The BGC welcomes the Gambling Commission's National Strategy was a way of accelerating progress on responsible gambling and tackling problem gambling. Our members are fully committed to meeting this challenge and are working tirelessly to deliver new responsible gambling initiatives including technology that tackles problem gambling and supporting a statutory levy and increased funding for problem gambling clinics.

Underage participating by those aged 11-16 in any gambling activity has declined from 22% to 11% over the past decade; here, 'gambling activity' mainly relates to personal betting (e.g. playing cards with friends) and legal play of lotteries (e.g. participating with consent of parents / guardians). BGC members have a zero tolerance to those under the age of 18 attempting to use their products.

Working in partnership with local authorities

The BGC is fully committed to ensuring constructive working relationships exist between betting operators and licensing authorities, and that where problems may arise that they can be dealt with in partnership. The exchange of clear information between councils and betting operators is a key part of this and the opportunity to respond to this consultation is welcomed.

Differentiation between Licensing Act 2003 and Gambling Act 2005 applications



When considering applications for premises licences, it is important that a clear distinction is made between the regimes, processes and procedures established by Gambling Act 2005 and its regulations and those that are usually more familiar to licensing authorities – the regimes, processes and procedures relating to Licensing Act 2003.

Whilst Licensing Act 2003 applications require applicants to specify steps to be taken to promote the licensing objectives, those steps being then converted into premises licence conditions, there is no such requirement in Gambling Act 2005 applications where the LCCP provide a comprehensive package of conditions for all types of premises licence.

It should continue to be the case that additional conditions in Gambling Act 2005 premises licence applications are only imposed in exceptional circumstances where there are clear reasons for doing so. There are already mandatory and default conditions attached to any premises licence which will ensure operation that is consistent with the licensing objectives. In the vast majority of cases, these will not need to be supplemented by additional conditions.

The LCCP require that premises operate an age verification policy. The industry operates a policy called "Think 21". This policy is successful in preventing under-age gambling. Independent test purchasing carried out by operators and submitted to the Gambling Commission, shows that ID challenge rates are consistently around 85%.

When reviewing draft statements of principles in the past, we have seen statements of principles requiring the operation of Challenge 25. Unless there is clear evidence of a need to deviate from the industry standard then conditions requiring an alternative age verification policy should not be imposed.

The BGC is concerned that the imposition of additional licensing conditions could become commonplace if there are no clear requirements in the revised licensing policy statement as to the need for evidence. If additional licence conditions are more commonly applied this would increase variation across licensing authorities and create uncertainty amongst operators as to licensing requirements, over complicating the licensing process both for operators and local authorities

Considerations specific to the Gambling Act 2005 Policy Statement 2022-2025

- 2) Paragraph 14.2 indicates that the council will expect operators to submit suggested conditions based on their own risk assessments. This paragraph should be amended to remove any suggestion that policies, procedures or mitigation measures proposed in an operator's risk assessments will be "converted to" or form the basis of premises licence conditions. The imposition of conditions should only be considered where the policies, procedures or mitigation measures do not adequately address the identified risk.
- 3) The reason for this is that a risk assessment is a dynamic document and (in accordance with SR Code Provision 10.1.2) must be reviewed if there is a significant change in local circumstances. As risks change or new risks are identified, the policies, procedures and mitigation measures to address those identified risks may be changed very quickly. If, however, the mitigation measures are the subject of premises licence conditions then an application for variation of the premises



Gosschalks is the trading name of Gosschalks LLP, a Limited Liability Partnership registered in England and Wales with number OC431300.

licence would be required to change these conditions. That could delay changes and would cause unnecessary expense and administration for operators.

- **4)** Paragraph 15.4 should be amended to remove the suggestion that an application is likely to be refused if the proposed premises is in an area with "unacceptable levels of crime" irrespective of whether this has anything to do with gambling. Such crime would not be a consideration in the context of s153 Gambling Act 2005.
- **5)** Paragraphs 15.7 and 17.10 refer to concerns relating to fixed odds betting terminals which were widely reported prior to the last policy review. The reduction in minimum stake from £100 to £2 on FOBTs (which occurred in April 2019) has significantly changed the use and indeed profile of these machines and any perceptions surrounding them. In the circumstances, these paragraphs should be redrafted.
- 6) Paragraph 17.5 states that an application for premises licence near to a school or hostel is likely to be refused where there is evidence that the proximity of the premises causes a risk to the licensing objectives and that risk is not adequately addressed by control measures identified in the operators risk assessment or by conditions. This is how it intended that the licensing of premises under Gambling Act 2005 is operated. It must be remembered, however, that gambling premises have always existed in areas that are either densely populated or which are areas of high footfall. Consequently, ever since they have been permitted, gambling premises have existed in areas where children walk past and there is no evidence of such children being harmed by the mere presence of a gambling premises. Operators will identify potential risks (such as underage persons trying to gain admission) and have policies and procedures to ensure that any risk is addressed.
- 7) With regard to the Local Area Profile (LAP) contained within Appendix B, context again is very important. This recognises that those living in deprived areas are potentially more vulnerable to alcohol related harm but does not complete the picture by acknowledging that persons living in deprived areas are also less likely to gamble in gambling premises. Research (Wardle 2015) states, "The evidence relating to household income and gambling harms is mixed, showing that generally those of lower income are less likely to gamble but those that do spend a higher proportion of their income on gambling. This was highlighted as a concern given the (likely) lesser ability of lower income households to protect themselves from financial instability (Brown et al, 2011). However, as stakeholders noted, there is some unease about labelling all low-income households as vulnerable as income, gambling, debt and money management are likely to interact to shape outcomes." "Similarly whilst certain ethnic groups have been identified as more vulnerable context is important. The same research states, "where both adults and children of Asian backgrounds were far less likely to gamble than their White British counterparts, yet those that did were more likely to experience problems."

Conclusion

On behalf of the BGC, we thank you for the opportunity to comment on your draft statement of principles and hope that these comments above are useful. The BGC will work with you to ensure that its members' operation of its premises will operate in accordance with the licensing objectives.



Yours faithfully,

GOSSCHALKS LLP

Gossehalles

